Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/772,308	NOMA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
EUGENE YUN	2618	

	EUGENE YUN	2618			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
THE REPLY FILED 17 December 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.			
☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonme application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which plu application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 4.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following timeriods:					
a) The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.				
 The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I 	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	n.		
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1,136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1,136(a) and the appropriate extension have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension under 37 CFR 1,17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; cast forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if time may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1,704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL					
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	s of the date of appeal. Since a		
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief.	will not be entered be	cause		
 (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in below 	nsideration and/or search (see NOTow);	E below);			
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.	21. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).		
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 			,		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	lowable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is profile status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		be entered and an e	xplanation of		
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected:					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
Drawn Gromer Exploses The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appear y and was not earlier presented. Se	l and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).		
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.		
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu see attached sheet. 	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:		
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)				

/Eugene Yun/ Examiner, Art Unit 2618

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office